

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark diffice
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,152	12/05/2003	Joseph William Lowry	AN18D1	1020
75	590 11/12/2004	•	EXAM	INER
EUSTATHIO	S VASSILIOU		LUGO, C	ARLOS
TERMAX COP	RPORATION		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
920 REMINGT	ON STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SCHAUMBER	G, IL 60173		3676	· -
			DATE MAILED: 11/12/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/729,152	LOWRY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Carlos Lugo	3676					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	$\bigcirc$				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply 1f NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Se	eptember 2004.						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•						
4) Claim(s) 21-30,32-42,45 and 46 is/are pending	in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>21-30,32-42,45 and 46</u> is/are rejected	•						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 September 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	,	).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
, — , — , — , — , — , — , — , — , — , —	s have been received						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	•	·					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	A\ \[ \] \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \	(DTO 412)					
1) M Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(S)/Mail Date	J □ Oulet						

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is in response to applicant's RCE filed on September 28, 2004.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 21-25,28-30,32-36,39-42,45 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat No 5,447,005 to Giannuzzi in view of US Pat No 5,367,751 to De Witt.

Regarding claims 21,32 and 42, Giannuzzi discloses a spring fastener comprising a substantially flat head portion (10) comprising a first hole (11) and at least a lower side (10a and 10b).

The spring fastener further comprises a neck (12 and 13) having an opening and two side neck portions. The neck extends from the lower side of the substantially flat head portion at a substantially right angle with respect to the substantially flat head portion.

The spring fastener further comprises two substantially flat legs (19 and 20) extending from the neck. Each leg has inner surfaces that are at an initial proximity with each other. The legs are expandable in opposite directions upon insertion through the first hole of an expansion member (23 and 24), thus bringing the

expansion member to a contact region of the legs. Each leg also has side leg portions.

The spring fastener further comprises a funnel configuration (14) in the vicinity of the contact region.

And the spring fastener further comprises barbs (15 and 17) having a front point and an origin.

However, Giannuzzi fails to disclose that the barbs comprise a proximal barb section starting from at the origin and being directed outwardly away from the side neck portion and the side leg portion respectively and a distal barb section ending at the front point and being directly inwardly toward the side neck portion and the side leg portion respectively regardless is the fastener is or not in an expandable position and also that between the proximal barb section and the barb distal end is formed an angle.

DeWitt teaches that it is well known in the art to have a barb (42) that includes a proximal barb section starting from at the origin and being directed outwardly away from a side neck portion (32) and a side leg portion (the lower section of 32 bellow 36) respectively and a distal barb section ending at the front point and being directly inwardly toward the side neck portion and the side leg portion respectively, regardless is the fastener is or not in an expandable position. Also DeWitt teaches that between the proximal barb section and the barb distal end is formed an angle.

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have an angle between a proximal section and a distal end Art Unit: 3676

of a barb, as taught by DeWitt, into a device as described by Giannuzzi, in order to guide and secure the fastener.

As to claims 22 and 33, Giannuzzi discloses that the head portion of the fastener comprises an upper side.

As to claims 23 and 34, Giannuzzi discloses that the head portion of the fastener has a single side corresponding to the lower side (Figure 7).

As to claims 24 and 35, Giannuzzi discloses that the first hole is substantially round.

As to claims 25 and 36, Giannuzzi discloses that the hole is comprises an oblong opening (Figure 4).

As to claims 28-30 and 39-41, Giannuzzi discloses that the first hole (11) is engageable to the expansion member (23 and 24).

As to claims 45 and 46, DeWitt teaches is known in the art to have a similar spring fastener used in a vehicle.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the fastener in a vehicle, as taught by DeWitt, into a device as described by Giannuzzi, because the recitation where is going to be applied the fastener does not affect the mechanism of it. Furthermore, the applicant is reminded that a recitation with respect to the manner in which an apparatus be intended to be employed does not impose any structural limitation upon the claimed apparatus, which differentiates it from a prior art reference disclosing the structural limitations of the claim.

Art Unit: 3676

4. Claims 26,27,37 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat No 5,447,005 to Giannuzzi in view of US Pat No 5,367,751 to De Witt, as applied to claims 21 and 32 above, and further in view of US Pat No 6,379,092 to Patel et al (Patel).

Giannuzzi, as modified by DeWitt, fails to disclose that the fastener comprises an elastic body molded at least under the at least lower side of the head of the fastener.

Patel teaches that is known in the art to have a molded elastic body (7).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a molded elastic body, as taught by Patel, into a device as described by Giannuzzi, as modified by DeWitt, in order to give a sealing protection against the environment where the fastener is used.

## Response to Arguments

5. The current amendment overcomes the rejection to the claims in view of Giannuzzi. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, since the current specification and/or claims does not provide any reason for having the barbs with an angle between the proximal barb section and the barb distal, the limitation will be considered as a design consideration. Therefore, a new rejection to the claims has been made in view of Giannuzzi as modified by DeWitt.

Application/Control Number: 10/729,152 Page 6

Art Unit: 3676

#### Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos Lugo whose telephone number is 703-305-9747. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-6pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on 703-308-2686. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-5771.

Carlos Lugo AU 3676

November 5, 2004.

DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Samuel P Stodola